

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/620,488	07/15/2003	Ravi L. Sahita	5038-248	9072	
32231 MARGER IO	7590 01/07/200 HNSON & MCCOLLO	EXAM	EXAMINER		
210 SW MORRISON STREET, SUITE 400			ENG, DAVID Y		
PORTLAND,	PORTLAND, OR 97204		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		2455			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			01/07/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/620,488	SAHITA, RAVI L.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
DAVID Y. ENG	2455		

	DAVID Y. ENG	2455	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 30 December 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.	
 Sign reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following in application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: 	the same day as filing a Notice of a eplies: (1) an amendment, affidavi al (with appeal fee) in compliance FR 1.114. The reply must be filed	Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Ar no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I	ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	date of the final rejection	n.
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of		20(a) and the annualist	
Extensions of utilitie ring be duranted united 37 GFR 1.136(a). The dual have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 GFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 GFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL.	ension and the corresponding amount on nortened statutory period for reply origin	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with the second process.	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
AMENDMENTS			
The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be a They raise new issues that would require further cor by They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	sideration and/or search (see NOT		cause
 They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or 	er form for appeal by materially red	lucing or simplifying ti	ne issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	orresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	1. See attached Notice of Non-Cor	mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):			
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).		imely filed amendmer	t canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proving the proposed amendment of the proposed amendment (s): a) [In the proposed amendment (s): b) [In the proposed amendment (s): b) [In the proposed amendment (s): b) [In the proposed amendment (s): a) [In the proposed amendment (s): b) [In the proposed amendment (s): a) [In the proposed amendment (s): b) [In the proposed amendment (s): b) [In the proposed amendment (s): a) [In the propos		be entered and an e	planation of
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: <u>16-26</u> . Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-11.13-15 and 27-30</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se	and/or appellant fail ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a
 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 	of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.
 The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet. 	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (13. Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).		
	/DAVID Y. ENG/ Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 2455	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The claims merely recite very basic operations in retrieving data from a CAM in response to an indurction. As set forth in the last Office action, in an instruction retrieving a data from a CAM for operation, the instruction must have at least three fields, namely, a CAM address field for specifying a content stored in a CAM location having the CAM address, a content field and an op-code field. When the instruction is executed by a processor, the content stored in the CAM specified by the CAM address field is retrieved and compared with the content in the instruction, if there is a match, the operation specified in the op-code field of the instruction will be executed. The applied reference Gai has a CAM operated exactly in the same manner. It is not seen how and why the claims are patentable distinct over Gai.